

Objet: assister et fédérer les personnes et les collectifs qui luttent pour la sécurité sanitaire des populations exposées aux nouvelles technologies de télécommunications sans fil

> Siège social : 55 rue Popincourt, 75011 Paris Téléphone : 01 43 55 96 08

e-mail : robin.des.toits@free.fr
Site : www.robindestoits.org

Paris, January 23, 2006

Madame Sophie DAVANT

Madam,

Following the emission "It is with the program" of the 09-12-2005, to which Rufus was invited, you received a thick file from AFOM.

Rufus was recipient of a copy, that it transmitted to us.

This file calls our share some comments.

And we have a pleasure of communicating them to you.

We will start with an overflight of the problem as a whole.

Then, we will discuss precise items.

1- DEATH CERTIFICATE

The death certificate of the official version, which proclaims the medical harmlessness of mobile telephony, is drawn up.

Here are the terms.

The official version rests in the beginning and the base on work of the ICNIRP.

Which is a private organization.

And that a report/ratio of a group of Euro-Deputies declares very near to the industrialists to the sector.

The ICNIRP declares that only the heating effects of the electromagnetic fields on the alive one are scientifically proven.

To be able to say THAT, it is necessary to have entered out of box tight at the end of the XIXème century.

To say that only the heating effects are proven is a fossil declaration.

The nonthermal effects of the electromagnetic fields on the alive one are known since work of GURVITCH.

Third decade of the XXème century.

The ICNIRP is unaware of work of GURVITCH.

True Scientists know the importance of it.

Obviously.

A biologist nowadays who did not intend to speak about GURVITCH can be compared nowadays to a physicist who would not have intended to speak about EINSTEIN GURVITCH had many children. Scientists.

Work on the nonthermal effects is legion.

They constitute a whole branch of named science Bioelectromagnetism.

Being only a branch, it can have escaped to the attention of the ICNIRP.

In this branch, many are work which treats not fewer electromagnetic forms of toxicity.

Public works. Not disputed. Even Nobel Prizes are seen.

Most significant of this work alone fill several pages with bibliography.

Most active of the contemporary children of GURVITCH names Dr. F POPP.

Two possibilities arise at us.

That is to say the ICNIRP did not intend to speak about work of Dr. POPP.

Either it did not include/understand them.

To conclude on the subject from this base from the scientific fiction professed by the official version, let us announce that two eminent personages of contemporary science, the pr. Neil CHERRY and Dr. Michael KUNDI, carried out each one an attentive examination of work of the ICNIRP, and they reduce in crumbs the scientific validity of it.

Let us pass now to the administrative references of the official version.

They are of two catégories:

- official reports/ratios,
- series of official measurements.

Most recent of the official reports/ratios were the work of a governmental Service named AFSSE. Recently relooké without explanation in AFSSET.

The AFSSE has in misfortune to be repudiated successively by its three principal représentatives :

- . its founder, Mr ASCHIERI, declared that his first report/ratio was a failure because the experts were paid by the operators (Nice Matin, 07-07-2003),
- . its director scientific, Mr ZMIROU, has explained in LE MONDE (10-06-2005) that it resigned because average materials were insufficient for work serious, and because he did not accept that the AFSSE directs the results of work,
- . its president, Mr PAILLOTIN, stated the 10-10-2005 with the Senate which the authors of the reports/ratios had respected neither of close, nor by far, the proper rules of the AFSSE, and which none of these reports/ratios would resist a legal expertise.

The declarations of Mr ASCHIERI and those of Mr ZMIROU are public by their publication in the press.

The verbal declarations of Mr PAILLOTIN were in public marked, in presence in particular of a known examining magistrate. They, so that it appears, are recorded.

The AFSSE is in ruin. Its credibility is in dust.

As its experts are the same ones as in the entirety of the official reports/ratios, a superb case of biological diversity, it is the expertise of State in its entirety of which there remains only remains today.

Let us throw a glance on the subject of the series of official measurements.

The Town hall of Paris in led one.

A European expert recently addressed to the Mayor of Paris a mail to expose in a precise and detailed way to him that current official measurements, being founded only on the protocol of the ANFR, can for this valid reason being neither on the scientific level, nor on the technical level

The Mayor of Paris states to have the Scientific Council.

If it did not answer, it is that it does not have an answer.

2- TOXICITY

The toxicity of the emissions of mobile telephony on the alive is treated in the enclosures. Thus, we mention of them here only the articulations.

Sources of information.

They are of two natures:

- the branch of named Bioelectromagnetism science is rich in work and scientific publications dealing with all the forms of toxicity as it is mentioned with Title 1.

See, inter alia, abundant bibliographies of two works:

- . your GSM Your Health you are lied 2004.
- . the Biology of the light of Dr. F.A. POPP 1989

both at MARCO PIETTEUR Editor.

But it is however said to us that the experts are unanimous on the NO PROBLEM. With decency, one forgets to specify us that the unanimity in question relates to only one category of experts who are unanimous on another point. Affection towards industry.

Moreover, there are no only experts. There are also scientists.

But when the ICNIRP is blind, in fact the entire official universe is it with.

- There are also information of ground.

The results of the true medical investigations are of a coherence which is very speaking.

Causes.

Causes of toxicity resident in the physical structure of the emissions which, contrary to the official version, is different from that of the other technical emissions.

This structure is triple and toxicity also:

- a- It has comprises an ultra high frequency or microwave.

 The bands of ultra high frequencies of mobile telephony were given up by the army which found them too harmful for its personnel. It is what made them available.
- b- It comprises frequencies of recurrence in very toxic low frequencies.
- c- Lastly, this structure is pulsated. The emission continuous but is not produced in very short jerks. It is there that the major cause of toxicity is.

In the field of the physical structure:

- the points A and C are specified by legal expertise,
- the point B is recognized by the AFSSE

It is said to us that the powers of telephony are too low for biological effects. But what is toxic it is the structure and to low powers are enough there. See work of Dr. POPP.

See work of Dr. 1 Or

Effects.

Everyone alive is a small universe of electromagnetism.

Any alive structure and any alive process depend on electromagnetic emissions. With very varied levels of intensity.

Since there are the alive ones, they live in resonance and by exchanges with natural emissions of which the structure is regular and continuous.

The jerks bring the disorder.

It is enough to disorganize not only physiological operations, but even the molecular structures.

Resulting pathologies.

Let us quote principal basic biological agressions:

- Loss of sealing of the barrier between blood and brain,
- Reduction in production of the mélatonine,
- Disturbances of the membrane regulations of the cells,

- Genetic Damage by significant ruptures of ADN,

References in enclosures.

The results are:

- Initially of the pathologies of first level,

Indexed médicalement like syndrome of the microwaves.

- In the event of aggravation appear heavy pathologies :

Cancers, autoimmune diseases, epilepsy, accidents antenatal, deteriorations of standard brain ALZHEIMER gold others.

Official recognitions

In 2005 intervened two fully official recognitions of toxicity.

One in England,

the other in Ireland.

3- ELECTROMAGNETIC COMPATIBILITY

The AFOM proposed to the Mayors a document named GUIDE.

One finds there no reference to lawful texts of full legal value in France.

In the field known as of electromagnetic compatibility.

These texts are former to the decree JOSPIN, single reference of the official version, whose thresholds are to 41 V/m for the GSM and 58 V/m for the DCS.

The texts of electromagnetic compatibility are more strict.

It is true that they aim at protecting the materials and not the people.

They fix a indépassable maximum of 3 V/m.

This legal obligation was recalled in writing by Mister of SEZE of the INERIS.

The legal validity of the threshold of 3 V/m was confirmed by obligations of disassembling of antennas.

This validity is as much European than French.

Whereas decree JOSPIN would be invalidated if it were attacked by Europeen Court.

Measurements of electric field intensity carried out in many points by really independent technicians, i.e. without any bond with industry, show that the going beyond of 3 V/m is of a great frequency.

One can thus say that in the field of the electromagnetic electric field intensities the illegality pullulates in France.

But the Mayors are not informed.

What does not make them less fragile in the event of judicial action.

4- **SOME SUBJECTS OF AFOM FILE**

Measurements

The AFOM says to us that to be considered valid, measurements of fields must be raised by accredited organizations COFRAC.

One can first of all suggest with the AFOM checking if those to which is allotted the capacity of accreditation do not have a problem with an old principle which treats moral aspect because of being a judge and part.

Then, one can recall that this type of accreditation relates to only the Companies and the Offices of control.

The experts have their own references.

For example the CRIIREM which counts in its members of the experts has to only make of an accreditation COFRAC.

It is the place here to point out the significance of measurements.

Below 1 V/m not of incidence of health.

On top of 1 V/m appearance of pathologies of first level.

On top of 2 V/m appearance of heavy pathologies.

On top of 3 V/m illegality.

This arises from the statistics drawn up on the medical investigations.

The AFOM says to us that to impose the threshold of 0,6 V/m on the whole of the electromagnetic emissions would ruin the technical state present of the society.

It is once more to exploit the words.

It is considered of threshold of 0,6 V/m only on the pulsated ultra high frequencies to which belonged mobile telephony.

And by no means on the remainder, TV, radio and others.

And when the AFOM declares that the figures of decree JOSPIN are the subject of a scientific consensus, it can act only of involuntary, frequent humour in its interventions.

Report/ratio of InVS on ST-CYR L'ECOLE

Those which this report/ratio did not make indignant laughed well.

Here are watchtowers of the public health which in front of a problem to be elucidated declare before starting that all the possible causes will be examined.

Except one. Precisely that which is suspected.

Mobile telephony.

Because the authorities made up declared it innocent by principle.

We are in the scientific rigour in person.

The report/ratio did not disappoint. Conform to the announcement.

Only one culprit: the chance which receives there a load very with the top of its usual means.

Rapport ccalled TNO

Very official Rapport on the initiative of the Dutch government.

UNIQUE report/ratio on planet concerning the medical impact of technology known as UMTS or 3G

To stick to essence, it reveals on exposure to a low intensity - 0,7 V/m - and in a short time - 45 mn - neurological disturbances of the cerebral activities.

One awaits a replication by the French Government.

We arm with patience.

KAROLINSKA Institut

This Institute of great notoriety is mentioned by the AFOM.

What is not tell is to us that Professor OLLE JOHANSSON, member eminent of this Institute, is one of the signatories of a mail addressed to the direction of WHO where is blamed its scientific director, Mr REPACHOLI, for handling of the reality of the scientific work, whose qualification is named crime.

If Mr REPACHOLI does not provide a convincing answer, WHO would once more be convinced badly to resist the attentions of the representatives of Industry.

As for the tobacco for example. As for asbestos for example.

<u>Insurances</u>

The operators declare assured of civil liability.

But refuse with any precision.

In spite of various engagements, they refuse to publish the lists of exceptions of their police forces which only could specify the real contents of the cover.

On a as crucial subject, one can say as the transparency leaves something to be desired.

In addition, one learns from several sources that the large Companies of reinsurance, in particular the LLOYD'S and the RE SWISS do not cover any more mobile telephony. And that is confirmed by a high person in charge for the RE SWISS.

Would there be a report/ratio?

5- **RECENT DOCUMENTS**

A book and two articles are recently been published.

The book has as a title:

SI DIEU MEURT JE NE LUI SURVIVRAI PAS (IF GOD DIES I WILL NOT SURVIVE TO HIM)

It is signed by Rufus - Publication September ,2005

The two articles are from Robin of the Roofs National Association.

One is appeared in the review the ECOLOGIST in September 2005.

The other is appeared in review BIOCONTACT in October 2005.

These three documents treating of mobile telephony,

and being as public as possible, the AFOM obviously was informed of it.

The reverse would constitute a professional deficiency in not easily conceivable communication.

No public observation having been made within the legal time, we are in front of two possibilités:

- AFOM approves the contents of the documents. On this possibility a light doubt remains.
- AFOM does not have any answer.

The two articles are annexed in enclosures to the present mail because owing to the fact that they are a concentrate various information.

The book is not joined to remain within reasonable limits of obstruction. But it is always available in bookshop.

All this draws up the portrait of a major scandal as regards public health, in which it would seem that national authorities and international take part in the deterioration of the facts.

Please refer to preceding episodes.

We recall all recipients who for the defense of the Public health it is nothing more effective than the diffusion of checked information.

ROBIN DES TOITS

ROBIN OF THE ROOFS

Press Contact:

Etienne CENDRIER Tel.: 01 40 18 02 81

Enclosures:

- Article in the review the ECOLOGIST September 2005,
- Article in review BIOCONTACT October 2005,