

Serge Sargentini
Next-up Organisation
... / ...

Open Letter R/AR

Subject:
EHS and wearing an anti-EMF body suit,
with regard to an upcoming bill

31 March 2010

M. François Fillon
Premier ministre
Hôtel de Matignon
57, rue de Varenne
75700 Paris

Mr Prime Minister,

I wish to bring to your attention the fact that more and more people are suffering and are no longer able to live normally due to the new intense environmental pollution generated by artificial electromagnetic fields. Some people have passed the stage of being environmental refugees and are in dire distress, much weakened, and in some extreme cases their lives are in danger.

The biological mechanisms of molecular interaction [[dossier](#)] linked to exposure to EMFs engender pathological conditions as defined and listed in the Microwave Syndrome [[dossier](#)].

The quantitative evaluation of the population affected by EHS (ElectroHyperSensitivity) has been defined by several scientific studies notably that of Drs Örjan Hallerberg and Gerd Oberfeld. More recently broad surveys of general practitioners in Switzerland and Germany, validated by the NCBI Pub. med. of the US government, have confirmed the estimated figures of EHS, which vary between 4% and 9% of the population. It was noted that about 90% of patients had not made the connection between their symptoms and EHS. Extrapolation of these figures indicates that in 10 years' time half the world's population will be EHS (ElectroHyperSensitive).

In view of the exponential increase observed in various sources of artificial EMFs, we are entirely certain, thanks to tens of thousands of testimonies in response to a current Europe-wide survey [[1](#)] and others [[2](#)], that the situation is in the process of attaining a new level, so that it is becoming more and more difficult for the EHS to move about safely in public places.

Consequently many EHS who have been using normal garments for anti-EMF protection (for which the demand has increased tremendously in recent years) are now compelled to adopt a higher degree of protection by wearing body suits with protective veils. This necessity is a question of survival, since the EHS cannot live for ever confined to their apartments or rooms arranged as Faraday cages, in cellars, caravans, refuge zones, or even in caves – this is actually happening in France, did you know that, Mr Prime Minister?

These all-over body suits are already worn by the EHS in certain countries and we shall shortly be obtaining some in order to test them and publish information about their effectiveness. As for the restrictions imposed by the garment, the EHS who wear this type of protection are able to uncover their face at any time for a short period because the effects of radiation are felt progressively in proportion to the time of exposure.

The purpose of this letter is to bring this matter to your attention, in the context of the current debate on the wearing of the veil, in case this results in a law being passed forbidding the wearing of the veil (an obvious religious gesture), and to point out that it is vitally necessary that such a law should not ignore the difference between the two types of veil. In any case it would have to allow explicitly for derogations on the basis of health principles, as guaranteed by the French Constitution and the European Convention for the protection of human rights and basic liberties.

At the same time, in the face of this evolving situation that is affecting public health, I think it would be highly salutary if you were able to set up a nationwide independent inquiry to evaluate the extent of this problem among the general public. For our part we are ready to provide logistical support if you so wish.

With the assurance of my best regards,

Serge Sargentini

President of the Conseil d'Administration, Next-up Organisation