



by Serge Sargentini

The myth of the WHO has had its day; such is the conclusion of Madame Chan, who in her position of authority bears the obligation to protect public health. In the light of this confession, political decision makers and the general public have the right and above all the duty to demand from this UN organisation the requisite regulations and code of behaviour.

During the 126th session of the WHO Executive Council in Geneva on 18 January 2010, the Director General Mme Chan, while presenting [her report](#) on "the fiasco of the vaccination for the pseudo H1N1pandemic", expressed from the platform the following conclusions [extract]:

*"... I mentioned the revolution in communications and information technologies. In today's world, people can draw on a vast range of information sources. People make their own decisions about what information to trust, and base their actions on those decisions.*

*The days when health officials could issue advice, based on the very best medical and scientific data, and expect populations to comply, may be fading. It may no longer be sufficient to say that a vaccine is safe, or testing complied with all regulatory standards, or a risk is real.*

*In my view, this is a new communications challenge that we may need to address".*



This statement is not only an implicit recognition of the hard work that all the organisations and worldwide networks did to give the public the full facts, but a confession from Mme Chan of the WHO's failure in communication, as well as the failure of policies of communication on health matters by government departments in member states.

If during the last few years the information from scientific and environmental fields has taken on a new look, the Director General would be well advised to start searching, along with her staff, for the reasons why - not in obscure speechifying as is her usual method but in the antecedents of decisions carried out under her authority or that of her predecessors.

The NGOs and independent scientists who have been faced for some years now with the disintegration of the "WHO method", which is based on widespread dishonesty in its science, have been compelled to create a replacement policy for educating the general public, making a useful step forward from predetermined teachings to teaching based on genuine unbiased information and real knowledge.

This advance has had the effect of enhancing the credibility of NGOs in the public opinion.

The present phase is furthering this general awareness by relying solely on the reality of actual and obvious facts experienced by the public, which will in the end have to be studied by independent scientists, that's to say those who do not have any conflict of interest.

Make no mistake about it, there is no known remedy against the viral effects of this strategy.

So nobody should be surprised that the WHO and its Director General have been struck by the return swing of the pendulum, and it will not be the last one.

## Part 2: "Chan's inexcusable fault"

- Taking essential action means "focusing on the facts", with proof.
- The tandem machine WHO/ICNIRP, the Repacholi case.
- Blockage of all studies on the development of clusters.
- Standards that make it legal to kill people.
- Court cases that make irradiation illegal.
- A healthy development: a new WHO.